Dear Mr. Rodrigue:

The Canadian Medical Association is pleased to have this opportunity to participate in the consultation on the proposed front-of-packaging labelling (FOP) as posted in the Canada Gazette Part One on February 9, 2018.¹ This new requirement will “provide clear and consistent front-of-package information and updated nutrient content claims to help protect Canadians from the risks of chronic diseases” related to the intake of foods high in sugar, sodium, saturated fats and trans fat.²

The CMA believes that governments have a responsibility to provide guidance on healthy eating that can be easily incorporated into daily lives, and that the federal government has a continuous obligation to promulgate policies, standards, regulations and legislations that support healthy food and beverage choices; provide user-friendly consumer information including complete nutritional content and accurate advertising claims; and increase the amount of information provided on product labels. We also commend Health Canada for its current work on revising the Canada Food Guide.

Front-of-Packaging Labelling

The CMA has supported a standard “at a glance” approach to FOP food labelling that can reduce confusion and help consumers make informed dietary choices since 2011.³ FOP labelling on packaged foods will help Canadians make healthier food and beverage choices. It will draw attention to those ingredients to be avoided in higher levels and can reinforce public health messaging on healthy eating. An added benefit may be an incentive to the food industry to reformulate processed foods with lower amounts of those nutrients highlighted in FOP labelling.

The CMA supports the placement of the proposed symbol on the upper and/or right hand side of the packaging, covering 25% of the principal display surface. The symbol
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must be clearly delineated from the product packaging so that it stands out and can be located with relative ease. It is important for the symbol to convey to the consumer that there is a certain degree of risk involved in consuming these foods, hence the colours used and the shape will be important.

Of the four symbols proposed by Health Canada, our preference is for the one displayed here but with a more defined, thicker border, that includes a small outer buffer (in white). It will be essential for Health Canada to ensure that the symbol design has been tested thoroughly with consumers and is effective in conveying the intended “high in” message.

As such, manufacturers will need clear guidance about the constraints on the use and placement of these symbols to ensure they cannot be misconstrued and to prevent the use of configurations that will diminish their effectiveness. Manufacturers must not be permitted to place voluntary nutrient content or health claims below or near the main symbol that would distort the message and create confusion.

**Foods to be exempted from front-of-package nutrition labelling**

There will be foods that are exempt from the labelling requirements and consumers will need clear explanations with respect to those that are exempt and why; some will be obvious, some will not. The CMA supports the proposed exemptions for eggs, fruits, vegetables and unsweetened, unsalted plain milk, and whole milk. However, we do not believe flavoured and/or seasoning salts and “sea salts” should be exempted from the requirement to have an FOP symbol on the package. Health Canada will need to undertake an education program to explain to consumers that these products are actually high in sodium.

**Nutrient thresholds for sodium, sugar & saturated fat**

CMA policy has encouraged governments to continue to work to reduce the salt, sugar, saturated fat, trans-fat and calorie content of processed foods and prepared meals.\(^4\) The nutrient levels chosen will therefore be critical in that regard. The CMA supports the proposed levels to identify foods high in sugar, salt or saturated fats. The CMA believes that it is important that there is consistency across all nutritional and healthy eating information and advice for Canadians. Ensuring consistency between the “high in” threshold and the 15% “a lot” daily value (DV) message delivers a clear message of concern.

While we understand the rationale behind increasing the nutrient threshold for prepackaged meals to 30% of the DV, we recommend that the threshold for “high in”

sugar of 30 grams or more total sugars per serving of stated size may be too high and
should be reconsidered. It should also be noted that the different thresholds on
prepackaged foods and prepackaged meals may cause confusion for consumers and
should be introduced with some consumer education.

Nutrient content claims, in relation to Front-of-Packaging Labelling symbol

Allowing a food that qualifies for a “high in” sugar FOP symbol to also display a “no
added sugars” claim would be very confusing to consumers. The product label
information would appear as quite contradictory; therefore the CMA does support not
allowing “no added sugar” claims on these foods. The CMA would suggest that a food
that is high in two or more of sugar, sodium or saturated fats not be allowed to display
any content claims to avoid any consumer confusion.

High-intensity sweetener labelling

Canadians have come to rely on easy-to-recognize information that alerts them that
food may contain artificial sweeteners. Therefore, we do not support the elimination of
the labelling requirement for artificial sweeteners on the principal display panel. For
products that have high intensity sweeteners added and which bear claims such as
“unsweetened” or “no sugar added,” a declaration of “artificially sweetened” should be
clearly visible on the FOP. The specific sweetener does not need to be identified so
long as it is declared in the list of ingredients. As long as quantity is displayed on the
nutrition facts table it doesn’t need to be on the principal display.

Further, while we recognize that harmonizing with USA labelling regulations is
desirable, we recommend strongly against the use of the term “phenylketonurics.” The
proper approach would be to use the phrase “people with phenylketonuria” for any
warnings on products containing aspartame, which contains phenylalanine.

Consumer education

For many Canadians, their diet can have a negative rather than positive impact on their
overall health. There is a particular concern for children and youth who are growing up
in increasingly obesogenic environments that reinforce practices that work against a
healthy diet and healthy lifestyle. Determined action is required for children and youth to
learn and acquire healthy behaviours that they will maintain throughout their life. The
CMA supports the government’s Healthy Living Strategy and their efforts to create a
healthier food environment. The addition of FOP nutrition labelling is an important tool to
make the healthy choice the easy choice.

Sincerely,

Jeff Blackmer, MD, MHSc, FRCPC
Vice-president, Medical Professionalism